Lamia CHAARI, Lotfi KAMOUN

University of SFAX, Electronic and Technology Information Laboratory, TUNISIA

Abstract

IEEE 802.16j mobile multi-hop relay (MMR) was proposed to gain coverage extension and throughput enhancement. The objective of this work, is to suggest a coded cooperative networking MAC protocol in non transparent multihop WiMax network. The proposed design takes in consideration network coding approach between relays. We try to address the division of an IEEE 802.16j frame into different zones. We suggest a frame structure that supports 3 hops non transparent TTR mode. Related work and the 802.16j capability are also deeply discussed.

Keywords: Cooperative, network coding, frame, multihop, *IEEE* 802.16*j*, *MAC*.

1. Introduction

Cooperative communication (CooCom) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 53] is a recent paradigm for wireless relay networks [6, 7, 8, 9, 10], proposed as a way to improve wireless network reliability and capacity. The main technical benefits coming along with cooperative communications are higher coverage, improved spectrum usage, lower energy consumption, increased location estimation accuracy, etc.). The broadcast nature of the wireless medium is the main enabler of cooperative communications.

Coocom enables single-antenna nodes in a multi-user environment to share their resources to jointly transmit information in order to achieve an improvement in overall performance and reap some of the benefits of multipleinput, multiple-output (MIMO) [11, 50, 51] systems. The classical relay channel model [6,12,13,14, 21, 52] is comprised of three terminals a source that transmits information, a destination that receives information, and a relay that both receives and transmits information in order to enhance communication between the source and destination. Cooperation is a generalization of the relay channel to multiple sources with information to transmit that also serve as relays for each other. Combinations of relaying and cooperation are known as cooperative communications.

This is realized via the application of cooperative diversity techniques that take advantage of the spatial

diversity offered by cooperation between wireless terminals. Diversity increases capacity and provides robustness against fading. The most common diversity methods are spatial diversity using multiple antennas, temporal diversity using automatic repeat request schemes or block interleaving with error correction, and frequency diversity using frequency spreading, frequency hopping, or orthogonal frequency division multiplexing techniques. Cooperative diversity refers to the class of techniques where diversity benefits are gained via the sharing of information between multiple cooperating terminals in a wireless network. Several relaying cooperative strategies [15] for wireless relay networks are proposed:

- decode- and-forward [17, 18],
- amplify-and-forward [19, 47],
- compress-and-forward [20],
- selection relaying scheme [16, $22 \rightarrow 25$].
- opportunistic relaying scheme [16, 26],
- coded cooperation (CC) [27, 28],
- Space-time CC [29, 30]

In decode and forward method a cooperating node first decodes signals received from a source and then relays or retransmits them. The receiver at the destination uses information retransmitted from multiple relays and the source (when available) to make decisions. Perfect regeneration at the relays may require retransmission of symbols or use of forward error correction (FEC) depending on the quality of the channel between the source and the relays. This may not be suitable for a delay limited networks. In amplify and forward each cooperating node receives the signals transmitted by the source node but don't decode them. These signals in their noisy form are amplified to compensate for the attenuation suffered between the source-to-relay links and retransmitted. The destination requires knowledge of the channel state between source-to-relay links to correctly decode the symbols sent from the source.

In compress and forward the received signal is only quantized instead of being fully decoded, the quantized symbols are not directly repeated in phase 2 they are compressed by Wyner-Ziv coding [31]. The selection relaying schemes choose the strategy with best performance based upon channel measurements between the cooperating terminals. In an opportunistic relaying scheme (ORS) only the best relay is allowed to cooperate with the source. If channel conditions are not statistically equal for all relays, ORS may be unfair among relays. That is, relays with the worst channel conditions are never selected and all the cooperation is performed by a reduced set of relays. This can induce a negative effect in the network behavior as one (or more) relays can waste all the battery energy for the sake of cooperation.

The coded cooperation provides cooperation diversity by distributed Forward Error Correction (FEC) coding and considers the result of the error check for its relaying decision. If a user is not able to correctly decode the partner's bits it forwards its own data during the second phase. The Space-time CC exploit spatial diversity available among a collection of distributed terminals that relay messages for one another in such a manner that the destination terminal can average the fading, even though it is unknown a priori which terminals will be involved. In particular, a source initiates transmission to its destination, and many relays potentially receive the transmission. Those terminals that can fully decode the transmission utilize a space-time code to cooperatively relay to the destination.

Many other strategies have been proposed. In [32] new cooperative strategy for ad hoc networks that are more spectrally efficient than classical Decode & Forward (DF) protocols was proposed. Using analog network coding was suggested in order to improve spectral efficiency of the cooperative system by relaxing the orthogonally constraint, though preserving the practical half-duplex constraint.

Although all these schemes employ different techniques to process the relayed data, all of them employ at least two phases per cooperation cycle separated for the reason that wireless terminals cannot transmit and receive simultaneously at the same time and frequency. While in the first phase the users exchange their data, in the second phase the users help each other by relaying the data/signal. The emerging IEEE 802.16j standard may allow w/o relay transmissions in the second phase.

The cooperative connectivity of a wireless relay network is defined as the set of communication links between pairs of terminals that are used in the transmission of an information signal from a source terminal to a destination terminal. Relay terminals are defined to cooperate with the source terminal when they transmit a signal that helps the destination terminal to successfully decode the original information signal. The ways that cooperating terminals can be connected to each other in wireless relay networks, the constraints imposed by the availability of different system resources and the achievable combinations of communication links between cooperating terminals are also deeply presented in the literature [54].

Recently, network coding [33, 34, 35,] as well has received a lot of attention for its potential advantages in improving throughput and enhancing robustness for multisource systems. The basic principle of network coding is to linearly combine multiple independent information flows into one flow to transmit. There have been several proposals for applying network coding to multi-source relaying networks, with or without cooperation. The interaction between Cooperation and Network Coding [36] has recently received a significant deal of attention, as a combination of the two brings novelty, flexibility and improved performance. However, there is a lack of studies about real-world scenarios.

Multihop relaying is already part of the standards currently being developed for wireless broadband systems [37] such as 802.16j [38] and 802.16m [39], which is an indication of growing consensus on the effectiveness of cooperative communication. However, at this stage, there are many open issues regarding good 802.16j relay network solutions:

- While there have been a few initial studies on IEEE 802.16j MMR networks, not much is really known about the performance of such systems. This makes it very difficult to have clear ideas of the potential of this technology.
- The current standard does not specify how the radio resource allocation will be done.
- Some initial studies on the design of 802.16j system have been carried out. The issues relative to the planning of multiple relays is still open.

No previous work has specifically look to the implementations issues of a coded cooperative networking MAC protocol over 802.16j systems. More specifically, the focus of this contribution is to propose a coded cooperative networking MAC protocol in fixed broadband wireless access systems with multihop relay Wimax networks, which we denoted it CCNMAC_{MHRwimax} "Coded Cooperative Networking MAC protocol for Multihop Relay Wimax networks". Such proposal require firstly a deep research on coding schemes [40, 41] used for combining, on relaying techniques used for mutually exchanging data, on multiple access methods to limit interference and overhead, on cooperation aware resource allocation such as selecting partners [42, 43] and cooperation level [44, 45], on routing methods [46] in multi-hop cooperative networks. Secondly we must focus on the practical issues for cooperative networking when trying to apply cooperation in an existing standard, such as IEEE 802.16j networks.

Researches on cooperative mechanisms at MAC layer are commonly related to WLAN networks [55, 56, 57, 58] and only some works for WMAN networks [59,60].

In [61] the authors propose A user scheduling and radio resource allocation algorithm for two-hop wireless relay networks in order to efficiently integrate various cooperative diversity schemes for the emerging IEEE 802.16j based systems. The analysis of the system with this scheduler shows that a simple cooperative diversity scheme which dynamically selects the best scheme between conventional relaying and direct transmission is promising in terms of throughput and implementation complexity.

The paper is organized as follows. In this section we have briefly reviewed related work. A reasonably detailed description of the 802.16j capability based on the current draft is presented in section 2. In Section 3, Frame structure for MMR in non transparent mode with tunneling and network coding approach is proposed. Finally, the paper is concluded in section 4.

2. IEEE 802.16j capabilities

The IEEE 802.16j is an amendment to the IEEE 802.16e standard to enable the functionalities of interoperable RSs and BSs. The IEEE 802.16j standard is currently being developed for increasing the coverage area of the IEEE 802.16e standard via the deployment of fixed or nomadic relay terminals.

In this section, the key system features and the capabilities of the IEEE 802.16j MR network are overviewed. The discussion will focus on two particular aspects the different relay modes that are defined and the frame structure that is proposed.

2.1 Relay mode

The basic system architecture considered by IEEE 802.16j is shown in Fig.1, where two kinds of radio links are identified: access link and relay link. BS that is capable of supporting multi-hop relay is called MR-BS. The access link is the radio link that originates or terminates at an MS, which is either a downlink (DL) or an uplink (UL), defined in IEEE 802.16-2004. The relay link is the radio link between an MR-BS and an RS or between a pair of RSs, which can be either uplink or downlink [68]. Based on the functionality of an RS, IEEE 802.16j has classified the RS functionality into two modes: transparent and non-transparent.

- In the transparent mode[62, 63], the RSs do not forward framing information, and hence do not increase the coverage area of the wireless access system; consequently, the main use case for transparent mode relays is to facilitate capacity increases within the BS coverage area. This type of relay is of lower complexity, and only operates in a centralized scheduling mode and for topology up to two hops.

- In Non-transparent mode: The RSs generate their own framing information or forward those provided by the BS depending on the scheduling approach (i.e., distributed or centralized). They can support larger coverage areas and hence are mainly used to provide increased coverage. Fig.2 illustrates the two modes.

Table 1 below gives a comparison between the transparent and non-transparent relay modes [63, 64, 65].



Fig.1 IEEE 802.16j basic system architecture



Non-transparent Mode

Fig.2 Transparent and Non-transparent	relay mod	es architecture
Table 1: Transparent and Non-trans	parent rela	y modes

	Transparent RS	Non-Transparent RS
Coverage extension	No	Yes
Number of hops	2	>2
Inter RS cell interference	NA	High
HO between RSs	None	Yes
Performance	In BS coverage: High Outer BS coverage: -	In BS coverage: same as16e Outer BS coverage: medium
RS Cost	Low	High
Scheduling	Centralized only	Centralized/ distributed

There are two types of non-transparent relays available in 802.16j: Time-division Transmit and Receive (TTR) relay and Simultaneously Transmit and Receive (STR) relay. The TTR relay act in the access zone as BS and in relay zone it acts as relay.

For this work, we have considered the non-transparent mode with two TTR relays nodes.

2.2 Frame structure for MMR

As the frame structure defined in the earlier

IEEE 802.16e standards was designed for single-hop wireless networks, modifications were required to support relay network architectures. The IEEE 802.16e have adopted orthogonal frequency-division multiple access (OFDMA) as the primary channel access mechanism for non-line-of-sight (NLOS) communications in the frequency bands below 11 GHz. The basic unit of resource for allocation in OFDMA is a slot, which comprises a number of symbols in time domain, and one subchannel in frequency domain. The base station divides the timeline into contiguous frames, each of which further consists of a downlink (DL) and an uplink (UL) subframe. For the case where MR-BS supports more than two-hop relay, the DL and UL sub-frames shall include at least one access zone and may include one or more relay zone to enable RS operating in either transmit or receive mode. The DL/UL access zones are dedicated for transmission between MSs and their access stations (MR-BS or RS), and they are fully compatible with the 802.16e frame structure. The DL/UL relay zones are dedicated for transmission between MR-BS and the RS or between two RS. In each relay zone, BS and RS can stay in the mode of transmission, reception or being idle. However, it is not expected to have BS or RS switch from one mode to the other within the same zone. In order to give wireless device sufficient time to switch from one mode to another, the corresponding time gap (e.g., TTG and RTG) is inserted between two consecutive sub-frames. The IEEE Std. 802.16j specifies the following gaps:

R-TTG: RS transmit/receive transition gap between uplink access zone and uplink relay zone in RS frame between DL access zone and DL relay zone in RS frame
R-RTG: RS receive/transmit transition gap between uplink access zone and uplink relay zone in RS frame.

The case where each DL and UL sub-frame comprises of more than one relay zones is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig.3 Frame Structure for MMR

The frame structure design is more challenging in the new mobile multihop relay based (MMR) network architecture, as numerous dimensions of design constraints and challenges have been introduced. In the literature frame structure design has received some attention In [48][49] a generic frame structure to support mobile multihop relay (MMR) operation of IEEE 802.16j, while maintaining the backward compatibility with the legacy 802.16e mobile stations was proposed and analyzed.

The packet construction mechanism in IEEE 802.16/16e standard, which was designed for handling traffic solely on a per-connection basis, cannot apply on the relay link directly, as it may render a potential bottleneck and preponderantly limit the overall network capacity. As a solution, in [58] the authors propose two schemes at the MAC layer, namely MPDU concatenation and MSDU aggregation.

3 Frame structure for MMR in non transparent mode with tunneling and network coding approach

This section provides design frame structure for multi relay hops (MR-BS, RS1, RS2) in non transparent mode. The proposed design takes in consideration network coding approach between relays. An envisioned topology is illustrated in figure 1, wherein RSs help MR-BS communicate with those MSs that are either too far away from the MR-BS. In other hand RSs can also have their own traffic to MSs. In this case, both the MR-BS and the relay transmit control data at the beginning of the frame. This way, the MS can synchronize with the relay, which is synchronized with the MR-BS.

The main drawback in the non-transparent case is that now the relay and BS are transmitting simultaneously in time and possibly, frequency. The immediate drawback is an increase in interference, particularly in the preamble and control channels. Clearly, power control and frequency reuse, which largely are left up to manufacturers, are crucial to non-transparent relaying. Further, non-transparent relays likely are more sophisticated (and thus, more expensive) than transparent.

Therefore, our challenge is to design a transmission mechanism suitable for wireless multi-hop networks, which linearly combine information flows from (BS, RS1, RS2) into one flow to transmit.

The IEEE 802.16j is also devoted to defining a new MAC message family (called R-MAC) between the MRBS and the subordinate RSs. A fundamental part of the R-MAC is what can be regarded as a tunnel connection, which is identified by a special tunnel CID (T-CID). In what way to use these tunnels is not specified in 802.16j D2 [59]. In this work we take in consideration Hop by Hop Tunnel Establishing (HHTE). In HHTE, RS_i receives the MPDU from MS, MR-BS or RS_j decodes it, and determines that it needs to be processed by each hop. RS_i encapsulates the MPDUs and sends it. Although the tunneling is optional, tunneling simplify the relaying process in multi-hop environment.

In order to explain our approach, we consider the topology illustrated by figure 1 which corresponds to 3 hops non transparent mode. We try to address the division of an IEEE 802.16j frame into different zones. We suggest the frame structure shown in fig.4 to support 3 hops non transparent TTR mode. We consider that MR-BS has the data flow X_0 to transmit to MS3 and each relay node RS_i has a data flow denoted X_i to be transmitted to the MS3. Moreover, the MS3 terminal has the data flows Y_0 and Y_i to send respectively to MR-BS and RS_i. The different interval time are denoted (T1, T2, T3,T4, T5, T6).

In table 2 we illustrate the data flows assigned and exchanged between the different hops.

Table 2: Data flow for support 3 hops non transparent mode

T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	T6
$RS2 \rightarrow MS3$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{MR-BS} \rightarrow \\ \text{RS1} \end{array}$	RS1 → RS2 RS1 →MR-BS	$MS3 \rightarrow RS2$	$RS2 \rightarrow RS1$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{RS1} \rightarrow \text{RS2} \\ \text{RS1} \rightarrow \text{MR-BS} \end{array}$
X2k, X1(k-1), X0(k-1)	X0k	(X0k xor Y0(k-1)), (X1k)	Y2k, Y1(k), Y0(k)	Y0(k), Y1(k)	(Y0k xor X0k), (X1k)



In order to enhance the reliability of the wireless link, retransmission protocols have been widely adopted in

wireless systems. Usually, they assume that lost packets within a cell have to be retransmitted from the corresponding BS based on the ARQ protocol. In this case, The MR-BS receives twice the data flow YO_k (in frame_k and frame_(K +1)) and the RS2 receives twice the data flow XO_k (in T3 and T6) this is can avoid the repeated retransmissions performed by the BS that reduce latencies to receive packets correctly.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a coded cooperative networking MAC protocol in non transparent multihop WiMax. The proposed solution takes in consideration network coding approach between relays. The suggested frame structure supports 3 hops non transparent TTR mode.

In IEEE 802.16 based multi-hop networks many issues are still open both in PHY and MAC layer and specially those taken in consideration cross layer interaction. Key issues such as multi-hop frame structure, scheduling mechanism, support for QoS and many other requirements are under consideration. In future work, we will consider more extensive simulation to compare our scheme with other schemes.

References

[1] Andrea Conti, Jiangzhou Wang, Hyundong Shin, Ramesh Annavajjala, and Moe Z. Win, "Wireless Cooperative Networks", EURASIP Journal on Applied Signal Processing, special issue published in volume 2008, 142 pages.

[2] Anna Scaglione, Dennis L. Goeckel and J. Nicholas Laneman, "Cooperative Communications in Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks: Rethinking the Link Abstraction", book chapter in Distributed Antenna Systems: Open Architecture for Future Wireless Communications, edited by Honglin Hu, Yan Zhang, jijun Luo, june 2006, © Taylor and Francis Group

[3] Ramesh Chembil Palat, "Performance Analysis of Cooperative Communication for Wireless Networks, thesis, Faculty of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, December 8, 2006, 164 pages.

[4] Maric, I.; Yates, R.D, "Cooperative multihop broadcast for wireless networks", Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on, Volume 22, Issue 6, Aug. 2004 Page(s): 1080 - 1088
[5] J. Nicholas Laneman, COOPERATIVE DIVERSITY Models, Algorithms, and Architectures, Book chapter: Cooperation in Wireless Networks: Principles and Applications. Springer, 2006, pp. 163-188.

[6] John Boyer, "Cooperative Connectivity Models and Bounds for Wireless Relay Networks", thesis, Ottawa-Carleton Institute for Electrical and Computer Engineering Department of Systems and Computer Engineering Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2007, 258 pages.

[7] Suhas Mathur, Lalitha Sankar, Narayan B. Mandayam, Coalitions in Cooperative Wireless Networks, IEEE JOURNAL

ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 26, NO. 7, SEPTEMBER 2008.

[8] Ivana Maric, Roy Yates, "Static and Dynamic Cooperative Multicast for Maximum Network Lifetime", Allerton Conference on Communications, Control and Computing, Monticello, IL, Sept. 2004.

[9] Simone Frattasi, "Link Layer Techniques Enabling Cooperation in Fourth Generation (4G) Wireless Networks, thesis, International Doctoral School of Technology and Science Aalborg University, 26 September 2007

[10] Furuzan Atay, "Cooperative Diversity Relaying Techniques in Wireless Communication Networks", thesis, Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, The Ottawa-Carleton Institute for Electrical and Computer Engineering (OCIECE) Department of Systems and Computer Engineering, January 2009, 199 pages.

[11] Chris T. K. Ng, J. Nicholas Laneman, Andrea J. Goldsmith, "The Role of SNR in Achieving MIMO Rates in Cooperative Systems, Information Theory Workshop ITW '06 Punta del Este, Uruguay, 13-17 March 2006, page(s): 288-292, ISBN: 1-4244-0035-X.

[12] Abbas El Gamal, "Capacity Theorems for Relay Channels", Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, April, 2006

[13] Ivana Marié, Andrea Goldsmith, Gerhard Kramer and Shlomo Shamai Shitz, "On the capacity of interference channels with one cooperating transmitter", EUROPEAN TRANSACTIONS ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS, 2008; 19:405–420 Published online 24 April 2008 in Wiley InterScience.

[14] Katti, Sachin Maric, Ivana Goldsmith, Andrea Katabi, Dina Medard, Muriel

MIT, "Joint Relaying and Network Coding in Wireless Networks", Information Theory, 2007. ISIT 2007. IEEE International Symposium, Nice, 24-29 June 2007, page(s): 1101-1105, ISBN: 978-1-4244-1397-3.

[15] Stefan Valentin, Hermann S. Lichte, Holger Karl, Guillaume Vivier, Sébastien Simoens Josep Vidal and Adrian Agustin, "Cooperative wireless networking beyond store-andforward: Perspectives in PHY and MAC design", Wireless Personal Communications, Vol. 48, No. 1, pp. 49-68, Jan. 2009.

[16] S. Valentin, H. S. Lichte, H. Karl, I. Aad, L. Loyola, and J. Widmer, "Opportunistic relaying vs. selective cooperation: Analyzing the occurrence-conditioned outage capacity," in Proc. 11th ACM International Conference on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems (MSWiM), Oct. 2008.

[17] Luo, J. Blum, R.S. Cimini, L.J. Greenstein, L.J. Haimovich, A.M., "Decode-and-forward cooperative diversity with power allocation in wireless networks", IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference, GLOBECOM '05, Dec. 2005, Louis, MO St, Volume: ISBN: 0-7803-9414-3.
[18] Sadek, A.K.; Weifeng Su; Liu, K.J.R., "Performance analysis for multi-node decode- and-forward relaying in cooperative wireless networks", IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 2005. Proceedings. (ICASSP apos;05).

Volume 3, Issue , 18-23 March 2005.

[19] YINDI JING AND HAMID JAFARKHANI, "Beamforming in Wireless Relay Networks", Information Theory and Applications Workshop, jan 2008, page(s): 142-150, ISBN: 978-1-4244-2670-6.

[20] Simoens, S. Vidal, J. Munoz, O., "Compress-And-Forward Cooperative Relaying in MIMO-OFDM Systems", IEEE 7 th workshop: Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications, SPAWC '06., 2-5 July 2006, Cannes, page(s): 1-5, ISBN: 0-7803-9711-8.

[21] Ivana Maric, Roy D. Yates, Gerhard Kramer, "The Strong Interference Channel with Unidirectional Cooperation", in Proc. Information Theory and Applications (ITA), Inaugural Workshop, Feb. 2006.

[22] Furuzan Atay Onat, Yijia Fan, Halim Yanikomeroglu, H.
 Vincent Poor, "Threshold Based Relay Selection in Cooperative Wireless Networks", Global Telecommunications Conference, IEEE GLOBECOM Dec 2008. pages 1-5, ISSN: 1930-529X, ISBN: 978-1-4244-2324-8.

[23] Yindi Jing Jafarkhani, H., "Single and Multiple Relay Selection Schemes and their Diversity Orders", Communications Workshops, May 2008. ICC Workshops '08. Beijing, page(s): 349-353, ISBN: 978-1-4244-252-0.

[24] Y. Jing and H. Jafarkhani, "Single and Multiple Relay Selection Schemes and Their Achievable Diversity Orders," to appear in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications.

[25] J. Nicholas Laneman, David N. C. Tse, Gregory W. Wornell, "Cooperative Diversity in Wireless Networks: Efficient Protocols and Outage Behavior", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, VOL. 50, NO. 12, DECEMBER 2004, 19 pages.

[26] Jose Lopez Vicario, Albert Bel, Antoni Morell and Gonzalo Seco-Granados, "Outage Probability vs. Fairness Trade-off in Opportunistic Relay Selection with Outdated

CSI", EURASIP JOURNAL ON WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING. Accepted 20 January 2009.

[27] Hunter, T. E. and A. Nosratinia: 2002, 'Cooperation diversity through coding'. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT). p. 220.

[28] Todd E. Hunter, Aria Nosratinia, "Diversity through Coded Cooperation", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS, COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 5, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2006, pages $283 \rightarrow 289$.

[29] Yackoski, J.; Lu Zhang; Bo Gui; Chien-Chung Shen; Cimini, L.J., "Realistic Evaluation of Cooperative Relaying Networks Using Decentralized Distributed Space-Time Block Coding", Communications Workshops ICC, IEEE International Conference, 19-23 May 2008.

[30] J. Nicholas Laneman, , and Gregory W. Wornell, "Distributed Space–Time-Coded Protocols for Exploiting Cooperative Diversity

in Wireless Networks", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, VOL. 49, NO. 10, OCTOBER 2003, page(s) $2415 \rightarrow 2426$.

[31] Zhixin Liu; Stankovic, V.; Zixiang Xiong, "Wyner-Ziv coding for the half-duplex relay channel", IEEE International Conference on

Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, (ICASSP apos;05), Volume 5, Issue , 18-23 March 2005, Page(s): v/1113 - v/1116.

[32] Nadia Fawaz, David Gesbert and Merouane Debbah, "When Network Coding and Dirty Paper Coding meet in a Cooperative Ad Hoc Network", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, 2008, 6 pages.

[33] Ajay Gopinathan, Zongpeng Li, "Optimal Layered Multicast with Network Coding: Mathematical Model and Empirical Studies", 16th International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems (MASCOTS 2008), Baltimore, Maryland, USA, September 8-10, 2008. IEEE Computer Society 2008, ISBN 978-1-4244-2818-2, page(s) 71-80.

[34] Yalin Evren Sagduyu, Anthony Ephremides, "ON NETWORK CODING FOR STABLE MULTICAST COMMUNICATION", Military Communications Conference, MILCOM 2007. IEEE Volume, Issue, 29-31 Oct. 2007.

[35] Andrea Munari, Francesco Rossetto, Michele Zorzi, "On the viability of a Cooperative-Network Coding Protocol in Clustered Networks", Military Communications Conference, 2008. MILCOM Nov 2008. IEEE

[36] Dereje H. Woldegebreal Stefan Valentin, Holger Karl, "Outage Probability Analysis of Cooperative Transmission Protocols without and with Network Coding: Inter-User Channels based Comparison", International Workshop on Modeling Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems Chania, Crete Island, Proceedings of the 10th ACM Symposium on Modeling, analysis, and simulation of wireless and mobile systems, Greece, 2007, Pages: 36 – 44, ISBN:978-1-59593-851-0.

[37] 802.16[™] IEEE Standard , "Local and metropolitan area networks Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems", 3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA, IEEE Computer Society and the IEEE Microwave Theory and Techniques Society Sponsored by the LAN/MAN Standards Committee, October 2004, Print: SH95246, PDF: SS95246.

[38] IEEE 802.16 Working Group Officers, " 802.16j-06/026r3, Baseline Document for Draft Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks, Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Systems Multihop Relay Specification, 2007-04-10.

[39] IEEE 802.16m-08/003, the Draft IEEE 802.16m System Description Document, 2008-01-23.

[40] Su Yi, Babak Azimi-Sadjadi, Shivkumar Kalyanaraman, Vijaynarayanan Subramanian, "Error Control Code Combining Techniques in Cluster-based Cooperative Wireless Networks", IEEE International Conference on Communications, 16-20 May 2005, Vol 5, On page(s): 3510- 3514, ISBN: 0-7803-8938-7.

[41] Stefan Valentin, Tobias Volkhausen, Furuzan Atay Onat, Halim Yanikomeroglu, and Holger Karl, "Decoding-based channel estimation for selective cooperation diversity protocols", IEEE 19th International Symposium: Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, PIMRC, Cannes, France Sept 2008, Page(s): 1-6, ISBN: 978-1-4244-2643-0.

[42] Lu Zhang and Leonard J. Cimini Jr., "Power-Efficient Relay Selection in Cooperative Networks Using Decentralized Distributed Space-Time Block Coding", Hindawi Publishing Corporation, EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, Volume 2008.

[43] Aggelos Bletsas, Ashish Khisti, David P. Reed, "A simple cooperative diversity method based on network path selection", IEEE Journal Selected Areas Communcation Vol 3, March 2006. [44] Zongpeng Li and Baochun Li, "Improving Throughput in Multihop Wireless Networks", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY, VOL. 55, NO. 3, MAY 2006.

[45] Stefan Valentin, Holger Karl, "Effect of user mobility in coded cooperative systems with joint partner and cooperation level selection", In Proc. of IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), March 2007.

[46] Lu Zhang and Leonard J. Cimini, Jr., "Hop-by-Hop Routing Strategy for Multihop Decode-and-Forward Cooperative Networks", IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, WCNC, April 2008.

[47] Deqiang Chen, Kambiz Azarian, and J. Nicholas Laneman, "A Case For Amplify-Forward Relaying in the Block-Fading Multi-Access Channel", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 54(8), (2008).



[48] Koon Hoo Teo, Zhifeng Tao and Jinyuan Zhang, Anfei Li, "Adaptive Frame Structure for Mobile Multihop Relay (MMR) Network", Information, Communications & Signal Processing, 2007 6th International Conference on Volume, Issue, 10-13 Dec. 2007 Page(s):1 - 5

[49] Tao, Z.; Li, A.; Teo, K.H.; Zhang, J., "Frame Structure Design for IEEE 802.16j Mobile Multihop Relay (MMR) Networks", IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBECOM), ISBN: 978-1-4244-1043-9, pp. 4301-4306, November 2007

[50] Giuseppe Caire, Nihar Jindal, Mari Kobayashi, Gif-sur-Yvette, «Multiuser MIMO Downlink Made Practical: Achievable Rates with Simple Channel State Estimation and Feedback Schemes", Submitted to IEEE Trans. Information Theory, Nov. 2007, 46 pages.

[51] Helmut Bolcskei, Rohit U. Nabar, Ozgur Oyman, "Capacity Scaling Laws in MIMO Relay Networks", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 5, NO. 6, JUNE 2006, page(s) 1433-1445.

[52] Yalin Evren Sagduyu, Anthony Ephremides, "A Game-Theoretic Look at Simple Relay Channel", ACM/Kluwer Journal of Wireless Networks, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 545-560, Oct. 2006.

[53] J. Nicholas Laneman, "Cooperative Diversity in Wireless Networks: Algorithms and Architectures", Thesis in Electrical Engineering

at the MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, September 2002

[54] John Boyer, David D. Falconer, Halim Yanikomeroglu, "Cooperative Connectivity Models for Wireless Relay Networks",

Wireless Communications, IEEE Transactions on Volume 6, Issue 6, June 2007 Page(s):1992 - 2000

[55] Hermann S. Lichte and Stefan Valentin,

" Implementing MAC Protocols for Cooperative Relaying: A Compiler Communications, Networks and Systems (SIMUTools), Mar. 2008, Best paper award.

[56] Yalin Evren Sagduyu, "MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL AND NETWORK CODING FOR WIRELESS INFORMATION FLOWS", Thesis, 2007, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering.

[57] Pei Liu, Zhifeng Tao, Sathya Narayanan, Thanasis Korakis, and Shivendra S. Panwar, "CoopMAC: A Cooperative MAC for Wireless LANs", IEEE JOURNAL ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 25, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2007.

[58] Tao, Z.; Teo, K.H.; Zhang, J., "Aggregation and Concatenation in IEEE 802.16j Mobile Multihop Relay (MMR) Networks", IEEE Mobile WiMAX Symposium, pp. 85-90, March 2007

[59] Junkai Zhang Suili Feng1 We Ye Hongcheng Zhuang, MAC Performance Evaluation of IEEE 802.16j, 2008 International Symposium on Information Science and Engieering. [60] Peters, S.W.; Heath, R.W.;The future of WiMAX: Multihop relaying with IEEE 802.16j, Communications Magazine, IEEE Volume47, Issue 1, January 2009 Page(s):104 - 111

[61] Basak Can, Halim Yanikomeroglu, Furuzan Atay Onat, Elisabeth De Carvalho and Hiroyuki Yomo, "Efficient Cooperative Diversity Schemes and Radio Resource Allocation for IEEE 802.16j", IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, WCNC, March 31 2008-April 3 2008, page(s): 36-41, ISSN: 1525-3511, ISBN: 978-1-4244-1997-5

[62] Vasken Genc, Sean Murphy, John Murphy, "Analysis of Transparent Mode IEEE 802.16j System Performance with Varying Numbers of Relays and Associated Transmit Power", IEEE Communications & networking conference, IEEE WCNC, 5-8 April 2009, Budapest Hungary.

[63] Vasken Genc, Seán Murphy, John Murphy, "Performance Analysis of Transparent Relays in 802.16j MMR Networks", IEEE 6th International Symposium on Modeling and Optimization in Mobile, Ad Hoc, and Wireless Networks and Workshops, WiOPT, 1-3 April 2008, page(s): 273-281, ISBN: 978-963-9799-18-9.

[64] VASKEN GENC, SEAN MURPHY, YANG YU, AND JOHN MURPHY, "IEEE 802.16J RELAY-BASED WIRELESS ACCESS NETWORKS: AN OVERVIEW", IEEE Wireless Communications • October 2008.

[65] Masato okuda, Chenxi zhu, Dorin viorel, "Multihop relay extension for wimax networks – An overview and benefits of IEEE 802.16j standard", FUJITSU SCIENTIFIC & TECHNICAL JOURNAL FSTJ, Special Issue 1: Fujitsu's Mobile WiMAX Solutions, 2008-4 Vol.44, No.3.

[66] Mike Hart & Jung Je Son, "Harmonized definitions and terminology for 802.16j Mobile Multihop Relay", IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group, IEEE 802.16j-06/014r1.

First Author Dr Lamia CHAARI was born in Sfax, Tunisia, in 1972. She received the engineering and PhD degrees in electrical and electronic engineering from Sfax national engineering school (ENIS) in TUNISIA. Actually she is an assistant professor in multimedia and informatics higher institute in SFAX She is also a researcher in electronic and technology information laboratory (LETI). Her scope of research are communications, networking and signal processing which are specially related to wireless and new generation networks.

Second Author Pr Lotfi Kamoun was born in Sfax Tunisia, 25 January. 1957. He received the electrical engineering degree from the Sciences and Techniques Faculty in Tunisia. Actually he is a Professor in Sfax national engineering school (ENIS) in TUNISIA. He is the director of electronic and technology information laboratory (LETI). His scope of research are communications, networking, Software radio and signal processing which are specially related to wireless and new generation networks.